

# **UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,015	06/07/2005	Katsuru Matsumoto	1254-0284PUS1	8802
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 10/03/200' ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			CHAPMAN, MARK A	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1756	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/03/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/538,015	MATSUMOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark A. Chapman	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a control of the c	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) T 3) Since this application is in condition for allocations of accordance with the practice under the condition of	This action is non-final.  wance except for formal matt		
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Example 10) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Example 10. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Example 10. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Example 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the example 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the example 11.	drawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  niner.  accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bun * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
· Attachmont(a)			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06022005.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/538,015 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The description of the storage modulus is impossible to ascertain from the description in the specification.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim is drawn to a toner "using" the masterbatch of Claim 1. It is unclear, confusing, and indefinite as to the positive recitation of the masterbatch in the toner. The Examiner suggests deleting "using" and inserting —comprising—therefore.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,015

Art Unit: 1756

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sato (JP 05-224461). Sato teaches a toner with the same physical properties and relation of a polyester glass transition temperature and softening point. Paragraph 20 of the machine translation corresponds to the same temperature ranges and the tables between paragraphs 32-38 correspond to the masterbatches of the tables on pages 17-18 of the instant application. It is inherent that the same polyesters would have the same storage modulus.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato (JP 05-224461) as applied to claims 1-3 above, and further in view of each of Yamakami (3,998,747), Katagiri (2003/0203304), and Taya (EP 0800117). Sato is discussed above. Sato does not particularly teach additional resins. Yamakami (claims), Katagiri (paragraph 128), and Taya (pages 4-7) each teach combinations of

Page 4

Art Unit: 1756

polyesters with other polyols for similar toner applications that have the same physical characteristics of softening point. Taya additional suggests a related corresponding storage modulus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of each of Yamakami, Katagiri, and Taya with the teachings of Sato to provide a toner masterbatch with the required resins and physical characteristics because of the known use of such in toner applications and the expectation of similar results due to the relation of the polyester glass transition temperature and softening point.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Chapman whose telephone number is 571-272-1381. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1756

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark A. Chapman Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 1756

MC